## The Case for a Solar Influence on Certain Nuclear Decay Rates

Peter Sturrock (Stanford U.), in collaboration with Ephraim Fischbach (Purdue U.), Daniel Javorsek II (US Air Force), and Jere Jenkins (Purdue U.)

S1207A01

#### **Experiments Exhibiting Variable Decay Rates**

Isotope	Decay Type	Detector Type	Radiation Measured	Effect Observed	Reference
<sup>3</sup> H	β	Photodiodes	β	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[35]
<sup>3</sup> H	β	Liquid Scintillator	β	Periodicity: 1/d, 12.1 yr <sup>-1</sup> , 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[36]
<sup>3</sup> H	β	Liquid Scintillator	β	Periodicity: ~12.5 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[37]
<sup>3</sup> H	β	Solid State (Si)	β	Periodicity: ~2 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[38]
<sup>22</sup> Na/ <sup>44</sup> Ti <sup>[a]</sup>	β+, K	Solid State (Ge)	Y*	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[39]
<sup>36</sup> Cl	β	Proportional	β	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup> , 11.7 yr <sup>-1</sup> , 2.1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[3, 16, 20]
36Cl	β	Geiger-Müller	β	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[40]
<sup>54</sup> Mn	K	Scintillation	Y	Short term decrease during solar flare	[15]
<sup>54</sup> Mn	ĸ	Scintillation	γ	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[41]
<sup>56</sup> Mn	β	Scintillation	Y	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[9]
60Co	β	Geiger-Müller	$\beta^{-},\gamma$	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[5,6]
<sup>60</sup> Co	β	Scintillation	Y	Periodicity: 1/d, 12.1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[42]
<sup>85</sup> Kr	β	Ion Chamber	Y	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[18]
90 Sr/90 Y	β	Geiger-Müller	β	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup> , 11.7 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[5, 6, 43]
108mAg	K	Ion Chamber	Y	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[18]
<sup>133</sup> Ba	β	Ion Chamber	Y	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	This work
<sup>137</sup> Cs	β	Scintillation	Y	Periodicity: 1 d <sup>-1</sup> , 12.1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[42]
<sup>152</sup> Eu	β-,κ	Solid State (Ge)	Y [6]	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[33]
<sup>152</sup> Eu	β-,κ	Ion Chamber	Y	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[18]
<sup>154</sup> Eu	β-,κ	Ion Chamber	Y	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[18]
222Rn[e]	$\alpha, \beta^-$	Scintillation	Y	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup> , 11.7 yr <sup>-1</sup> , 2.1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[44, 45]
226Ra[c]	$\alpha, \beta^-$	Ion Chamber	Y	Periodicity: 1 yr <sup>-1</sup> , 11.7 yr <sup>-1</sup> , 2.1 yr <sup>-1</sup>	[3, 17, 20]
<sup>239</sup> Pu	β	Solid State	a	Periodicity: 1/d, 13.5 yr-1, 1 yr-1	[36]

Table 1: Experiments where time-dependent decay rates have been observed.

<sup>[a]</sup>Only the count rate ratio data were available.

[b] Only the & photon was measured.

<sup>[e]</sup> Decay chain includes several primarily  $\beta$ -decaying daughters which also emit photons.

To date, 23 reports of experiments involving 16 nuclides, finding oscillations at 1 year<sup>-1</sup>, about 2 year<sup>-1</sup>, and in the range 12 – 13.5 year<sup>-1</sup>.

# BNL Decay Data (1998)



### Amplitude of Oscillation approximately 0.1%

# PTB Decay Data (1998)



## Amplitude of Oscillation approximately 0.1%



Power spectrum formed from the ratio of the Si and Cl count rates. There are strong peaks at 1.0, 11.2, and 13.1 year<sup>-1</sup>.



Histogram of the results of 10,000 shuffles of the Si/Cl data, for frequencies in the range 10 - 15 year<sup>-1</sup>. None produces a power as large as the actual power (20.8). A logarithmic display indicates that there is only one chance in 10<sup>6</sup> of finding that big a peak in that band by chance.



# BNL (magenta) and PTB (blue) running-mean power spectra. Peaks at 11.24 and 11.27 yr<sup>-1</sup>

PTB10

The Joint Power Statistic (JPS) is a function of the product of the powers. If each power has an exponential distribution, the JPS has an exponential distribution

For just two powers, as a function of

$$Y = \left(S_1 * S_2\right)^{1/2}$$

the JPS is given approximately by

$$J = \frac{1.943 Y^2}{0.650 + Y}$$



Joint power spectrum formed from BNL and PTB running-mean power spectra. Peak at 11.23 yr<sup>-1</sup>





Histogram of peak value of joint power statistic formed from 10,000 shuffle simulations of peak power in the search band 10 – 15 year<sup>-1</sup> of BNL and PTB running-mean power spectra.

Logarithmic plot of peak value of joint power statistic. The probability of getting 10.65 or more is less than 10<sup>-17</sup>.

#### **R-MODE OSCILLATIONS**

These are fluid-dynamical oscillation (related to "Rossby waves"). For a sidereal rotation frequency  $v_R$ and for spherical harmonic indices l, m, the frequency is given by

$$v(l,m) = \frac{2mv_R}{l(l+1)}$$

A "tachocline" is a thin region where there is a sharp gradient in rotation rate.

A tachocline separates the radiative zone from the convection zone.

The rotation rate there is 14.2 year<sup>-1</sup>. For l = 3, m = 1, nu = 2.37 year<sup>-1</sup> (a period of 154 days).

This is known oscillation in solar physics as the "Rieger" Oscillation.



SEARCH FOR AN r-MODE (RIEGER-TYPE) OSCILLATION

Our analyses have led to estimates 11.0 - 12.8 year<sup>-1</sup> for the synodic rotation frequency (as seen from Earth).

This corresponds to a sidereal frequency of 12.0 - 13.8 year<sup>-1</sup>.

Suppose this refers to a second (inner) tachocline separating the core from the radiative zone (at about 0.25 R).

Then, for l = 3, m = 1, we arrive at a *predicted* r-mode frequency in the range 2.0 to 2.3 year<sup>-1</sup>.

We therefore examine BNL and PTB power spectra to see if there are any peaks in this frequency range.



BNL power spectrum Search band 2.0 – 2.3 year<sup>-1</sup> Peak at 2.11 yr<sup>-1</sup> with power S = 10.1

PTB power spectrum Search band 2.0 – 2.3 year<sup>-1</sup> Peak at 2.11 yr<sup>-1</sup> with power S = 25.8 Joint Power Statistic formed from BNL and PTB power spectra Search band 2.0 – 2.3 year<sup>-1</sup> Peak at 2.11 yr<sup>-1</sup> with power J = 30.6





Histogram formed from 10,000 shuffle simulations of the joint power statistic formed from the BNL and PTB power spectra

Logarithmic plot. Probability of getting 30.6 or more is about 10<sup>-12</sup>.

### **REQUIREMENTS OF A THEORY**

• NUCLEAR DECAY RATES ARE NOT IMMUTABLE

• DECAY RATES ARE INFLUENCED BY SOME FORM OF RADIATION FROM THE SOLAR CORE

• THE RADIATION CAN TRAVEL THROUGH THE SOLAR INTERIOR WITHOUT BEING ABSORBED.

• HOWEVER, THE RADIATION CAN BE MODULATED IN SOME WAY, AND MAINTAIN THAT MODULATION IN ITS TRAVEL TO EARTH.

### **NEUTRINOS**?

• NEUTRINOS CAN TRAVEL WITHOUT SIGNIFICANT ABSORPTION.

• THE "MODULATION" CAN BE ITS FLAVOR.

• THE FLAVOR CAN BE CHANGED BY MAGNETIC FIELD DUE TO THE RSFP PROCESS.

• BUT HOW DO NEUTRINOS INFLUENCE BETA DECAYS?

## Spectrograms formed from Decay Data and from Solar Neutrino Data



Spectrogram formed from BNL <sup>36</sup>Cl Data Spectrogram formed from Super-Kamiokande Solar Neutrino Data Spectrogram formed from BNL <sup>32</sup>Si Data

Note the common modulation at about 12.5 year<sup>-1</sup>, And the weaker modulation at about 11 year<sup>-1</sup>.

**RSFP** - Resonant Spin Flavor Precession

**Resonance Condition** 
$$G_F \sqrt{2} (N_e - N_n) = \frac{\Delta (m^2)}{2E}$$
  
Fermi Constant  $G_F = 10^{-37.03} \ eV \ cm^3$ 

 $N_e$  = electron density,  $N_n$  = neutron density

Super-Kamiokande data shows evidence of r-mode oscillations in the **Outer Tachocline** (r = 0.7R)

Adopting E = 5 MeV, we find that

 $\Delta(m^2) = 10^{-6.9} \ eV^2$ 

Inner Tachocline (r = 0.25R): For this values of DEL( $m^2$ ) We find that  $E = 10^{4.9} eV = 80 keV$ 

This is an estimate of the energy of neutrinos that influence decay rates.

#### **RSFP** - Resonant Spin Flavor Precession

Adiabaticity Condition  $(\mu/\mu_B)B > 10^{-11.51} (N_e - N_n)^{1/2} H^{-1/2}$ Bohr magneton  $\mu_B = 10^{-7.23} eV G^{-1}$ 

 $N_e$  = electron density,  $N_n$  = neutron density, H = scale height

**Outer Tachocline**, If B =  $10^{4.9}$  G, then  $\mu/\mu_B = 10^{-9.3}$ 

If  $\mu/\mu_B = 10^{-10}$  then  $B = 10^{5.6} \text{ G} = 400 \text{ kG}$ 

**Inner Tachocline**, if  $\mu/\mu_B = 10^{-10}$  then  $B = 10^{6.1} \text{ G} = 1.3 \text{ MG}$ 

If  $\mu/\mu_B = 10^{-9.3}$  then  $B = 10^{5.4} G = 250 kG$ 

S1207A13

## SIGNIFICANCE FOR PARTICLE PHYSICS

• Nuclear Decay Rates are Not Immutable

• Decay Rates are Influenced by Some Form of Radiation from the Solar Core – Possibly a Neutrino Flux [Electron, Muon or Tau] Possibly a New Species of Particles ["Neutrellos"]

There may be an Interaction Between Neutrinos [via "Neutrellons"?]

• The Particles do not Travel Freely Through the Solar Interior. This Could be due to the RSFP Effect.

• Any Revision of Neutrino Theory Could Have Implications for Supernova Theory and Possibly other Topics of Astrophysics.

### SIGNIFICANCE FOR SOLAR PHYSICS

• The Solar Core is Probably Not Spherically Symmetric, and not in a Steady State [Magnetic Field?]

- The Solar Core Appears to Rotate More Slowly than the Radiative Zone
- There may be an "Inner Tachocline" Between the Core and the Radiative Zone
- If There is an "Inner Tachocline," There may also be an "Inner Dynamo," Which Could Conceivably Contribute to the Sun's internal Magnetic Field
- There is Evidence for a Relationship Between Changes in Decay Rates and Solar Flares [J.H. Jenkins And E. Fischbach, Perturbation Of Nuclear Decay Rates During The Solar Flare Of 2006 December 13, *Astroparticle Physics, 31, 407-411 (2009)*.]
- Such a Connection, if Established, Might Prove to have Predictive Value

S1207A08

#### A Force Diagnostic Concerning a Possible Influence of Solar Neutrinos on Nuclear Decay Rates

An energy transfer per event *to* the nucleus of  $\Delta E_{ev}$  (in electron volts), leads to a transfer of momentum (in g cm s<sup>-1</sup>, anti-solar direction) of  $\Delta p = c^{-1} 10^{-11.80} \Delta E_{ev} = 10^{-22.28} \Delta E_{ev}$ 

If  $T_{vr}$  (in years) is the half-life,

is the fraction of the decay rate that is due to the Sun,

M (g) is the mass of the sample, and

A is the atomic weight, then the total force (in dynes) is given by

$$F = 10^{-6.16} \Gamma A^{-1} T_{yr}^{-1} \Delta E_{ev} M$$

For <sup>54</sup>Mn, A = 54.9 and  $T_y$  = 0.81. Adopting  $\Gamma$  = 0.01

we find  $F = 10^{-9.9} \Delta E_{ev} M$ 

For instance, if  $\Delta E_{eV} = 10^6 (1 \text{ MeV}) \text{ and } M = 10^{-6} (1 \text{ microgram})$ 

Then  $F = 10^{-9.9}$  (dynes)

#### A Torque Diagnostic Concerning a Possible Influence of Solar Neutrinos on Nuclear Decay Rates

Consider a cylinder suspended by a long thread.

The mean torque (g cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-2</sup>) per active atom is

$$H_1 = \Gamma \gamma \frac{1}{2}h = 10^{-34.14} \Gamma T_{yr}^{-1}$$

where  $\Gamma (\approx 0.01)$  is the fraction of decays due to solar influence,  $T_{yr}$  is the half-life in years. If K<sub>A</sub> is the fraction of the total mass due to the radioactive nuclide, A the atomic weight, and  $\Theta$  is the maximum solar elevation,

the amplitude of the daily oscillation in radians is

$$\phi_0 = 10^{-1.78} K_A \Gamma A^{-1} T_{yr}^{-1} R^{-2} \sin(\Theta)$$
  
For <sup>54</sup>Mn, A = 54.9, T<sub>yr</sub> = 0.81, and  $\Theta = 45 \deg$ ,  $\phi_0 = 10^{-3.58} K_A R^{-2}$   
For R = 10 micron, K<sub>A</sub> = 10<sup>-3</sup>,  $\phi_0 = 10^{-0.58}$  radian  $\approx 14 \deg_1$